

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/015,701	12/17/2001	Kyeong Jin Kim	8733.479.00	8733.479.00 6382		
30827	7590 11/14/2005		EXAMINER			
MCKENNA	LONG & ALDRIDGE	RUDE, TIMOTHY L				
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASIIINGTO	11, 20 2000		2883			
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	700		
Advisory Action	10/015,701	KIM, KYEONG JIN			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy L. Rude	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replete the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· ·	jected claims.			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	<u> </u>	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5,7,9,11-15,17-20 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6,8,16 and 21-28	vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	-				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					
		tir			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed limitations for base claim 1 drawn to "height difference ... makes the dispensed liquid crystal move and uniformly distributed" have not been considered or searched.

Frank G. Form

Supervisory Patent Examing/ Technology Center 2800